arXiv:1408.4763v1 [math.RT] 19 Aug 2014

SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

RUIPU BAI, SHUANGSHUANG CHEN, AND RONG CHENG

Abstract. The symplectic structures on 3-Lie algebras and metric symplectic 3-Lie algebras are studied. For arbitrary 3-Lie algebra L, infinite many metric symplectic 3-Lie algebras are constructed. It is proved that a metric 3-Lie algebra (A, B) is a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra if and only if there exists an invertible derivation D such that $D \in Der_B(A)$, and is also proved that every metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\omega})$ is a T^*_θ-extension of a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra (A, B, ω). Finally, we construct a metric symplectic double extension of a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra by means of a special derivation.

1. Introduction

The notion of 3-Lie algebra was introduced in [1]. It is a vector space with a ternary linear skew-symmetric multiplication satisfying the generalized Jacobi identity (or Filippov identity). 3-Lie algebras, especially, metric 3-Lie algebras are applied in many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics. Motivated by some problems of quark dynamics, Nambu [2] introduced a 3-ary generalization of Hamiltonian dynamics by means of the 3-ary Poisson bracket

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3] = \det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

which satisfies the generalized Jacobi identity

$$[[f_1, f_2, f_3], g_2, g_2] = [[f_1, g_2, g_3], f_2, f_3] + [f_1, [f_2, g_2, g_3], f_3] + [f_1, f_2, [f_3, g_2, g_3]].$$

Following this line, Takhtajan [3] developed systematically the foundation of the theory of n-Poisson or Nambu-Poisson manifolds. Metric 3-Lie algebras are applied to the study of the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations of the world-volume theory of multiple coincident M2-branes; the Bagger-Lambert theory has a novel local gauge symmetry which is based on a metric 3-Lie algebra [4, 5]. The generalized Jacobi identity can be regarded as a generalized Plucker relation in the physics literature [6, 7, 8].

Authors in [9] studied the structure of metric n-Lie algebras. It is an n-Lie algebra with a non-degenerate ad-invariant symmetric bilinear form. The ordinary gauge theory requires a positive-definite metric to guarantee that the theory possesses positive-definite kinetic terms and to prevent violations of unitarity due to propagating ghost-like degrees of freedom. But very few metric n-Lie algebras admit positive-definite metrics (see [8, 10]); Ho, et al. in [5] confirmed that there are no non-strong semisimple n-Lie algebras [11] with positive-definite metrics for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. They also gave examples of 3-Lie algebras whose metrics are not

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B05, 17B60.

Key words and phrases. 3-Lie algebra, metric 3-Lie algebra, symplectic 3-Lie algebra, T^*_θ-extension.

positive-definite and observed that generators of zero norm are common in 3-Lie algebras. Papers [12, 13] studied the module-extension of 3-Lie algebras and T^*_θ-extension of n-Lie algebras. So we can obtain more metric 3-Lie algebras by 3-Lie algebras and their modules.

We know that Lie groups which admit a bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric and also a left-invariant symplectic form are nilpotent Lie groups and their geometry (and, consequently, that of their associated homogeneous spaces) is very rich. In particular, they carry two left-invariant affine structures: one defined by the symplectic form (which is well-known) and another which is compatible with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric. The paper [16] studied quadratic Lie algebras over a field K of null characteristic which admit, at the same time, a symplectic structure. It is proved that if K is algebraically closed every such Lie algebra may be constructed as the T^* -extension of a nilpotent algebra admitting an invertible derivation and also as the double extension of another quadratic symplectic Lie algebra by the one-dimensional Lie algebra. In this paper we study the metric 3-Lie algebra which, at same time, admits a symplectic structure. We call it a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra.

Throughout this paper, F denotes an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero. Any bracket that is not listed in the multiplication of a 3-Lie algebra is assumed to be zero. The symbol $\oplus$ will be frequently used.Unless other thing is stated, it will only denote the direct sum of vector spaces.

2. Fundamental notions

A 3-Lie algebra [1] is a vector space L over a field F on which a linear multiplication $[\ ,\ ,\ ]: L\wedge L\wedge L\to L$ satisfying generalized Jacobi identity (or Filippov identity)

$$[[x_1,x_2,x_3],y_2,y_3]=\sum_{i=1}^{3}[x_1,\cdots,[x_i,y_2,y_3],\cdots,x_3],\ \forall x_1,x_2,x_3,y_2,y_3\in L.$$

A subspace A of L is called a *subalgebra* (*an ideal*) of L if $[A,A,A]\subseteq A$ ($[A,L,L]\subseteq A$). If $[A,A,A]=0$ ($[A,A,L]=0$), than A is called *an abelian subalgebra* (*an abelian ideal*) of L.

In particular, the subalgebra generated by the vectors $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ for all $x_1,x_2,x_3\in L$ is called the *derived algebra* of L, which is denoted by L^1. If $L^1=0$, L is called *an abelian algebra.*

A derivation of a 3-Lie algebra L is a linear mapping $D: L\to L$ satisfying

$$D[x,y,z]=[Dx,y,z]+[x,Dy,z]+[x,y,Dz],\ \forall x,y,z\in L.$$

All the derivations of L is a linear Lie algebra, is denoted by $Der(L)$.

A 3-Lie algebra L is said to be *simple* if $L^1\neq 0$ and it has no ideals distinct from 0 and itself.

An ideal I of an 3-Lie algebra L is called *nilpotent* [14], if $I^s = 0$ for some $s \geq 0$, where $I^0 = I$ and I^s is defined as

$$I^s = [I^{s-1}, I, L], \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 1.$$

In the case $I = L$, L is called a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra. The abelian ideal

$$Z(L) = \{x \in L \mid [x, L, L] = 0 \}$$

is called *the center* of L.

Let L be a 3-Lie algebra, V be a vector space, $\rho : L \wedge L \to End(V)$ be a linear mapping. The pair (V, ρ) is called *a representation* [14] (or V is an L-module) of L in V if ρ satisfies $\forall a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2 \in L$,

$$[\rho(a_1, a_2), \rho(b_1, b_2)] = \rho([a_1, a_2, b_1], b_2) + \rho(b_1, [a_1, a_2, b_2]),$$

$$\rho([a_1, a_2, a_3], b_1) = \rho(a_2, a_3)\rho(a_1, b_1) - \rho(a_1, a_3)\rho(a_2, b_1) + \rho(a_1, a_2)\rho(a_3, b_1).$$

Then (V, ρ) is a representation of the 3-Lie algebra L if and only if the vector space $Q = L \oplus V$ is a 3-Lie algebra in the following multiplication

$$[a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2, a_3 + v_3] = [a_1, a_2, a_3]_L + \rho(a_1, a_2)(v_3) - \rho(a_1, a_3)(v_2) + \rho(a_2, a_3)(v_1).$$

Therefore, A is a subalgebra and V is an abelian ideal of the 3-Lie algebra $L \oplus V$, respectively.

If (V, ρ) is a representation of the 3-Lie algebra L, V^* is the dual space of V. Then (V^*, ρ^*) is also a representation of L, which is called the dual representation of (V, ρ), where

$$\rho^* : L \wedge L \to End(V^*), \ \rho^*(a, b)f(c) = -f(\rho(a, b)c), \ \forall a, b, c \in L, f \in V^*.$$

For 3-Lie algebra L, the joint representation (L, ad) is

$$ad : L \wedge L \to End(L), \ ad(x, y)(z) = [x, y, z], \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

Then we obtain the dual representation $ad^* : L \wedge L \to End(L^*)$,

$$(ad^*(x, y)f)(z) = -f(ad(x, y)z) = -f([x, y, z]), \forall x, y, z \in L, \ f \in L^*.$$

Let L be a 3-Lie algebra, $B : L \times L \to F$ be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on L. If B satisfies

$$B([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4) + B(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]) = 0, \forall x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in L, \tag{2.1}$$

then B is called *a metric* on 3-Lie algebra L, and (L, B) is called *a metric 3-Lie algebra* [9].

Let (L, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra. Denotes

$$Der_B(L) = \{D \in Der(L) \mid B(Dx, y) + B(x, Dy) = 0, \ \forall x, y \in L\} = Der(L) \cap so(L, B). \tag{2.2}$$

Let W be a subspace of a metric 3-Lie algebra (L, B). *The orthogonal complement* of W is defined by

$$W^{\perp} = \{x \in L \mid B(w, x) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

Then W is an ideal if and only if $W^\perp$ is an ideal and $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$. Notice that W is a minimal ideal if and only if $W^\perp$ is maximal. If $W \subseteq W^\perp$, then W is called *isotropic*.

The subspace W is called *nondegenerate* if $B|_{W\times W}$ is nondegenerate, this is equivalent to $W \cap W^\perp = 0$ or $L = W \oplus W^\perp$. If an ideal I satisfies $I = I^\perp$, then I is called *a completely isotropic ideal*.

If L does not contain nontrivial nondegenerate ideals, then L is called *B-irreducible.* For a metric 3-Lie algebra (L, B), it is not difficult to see

$$L^1 = [L, L, L] = Z(L)^\perp.$$

3. Symplectic 3-Lie algebras

Definition 3.1 *Let L be a 3-Lie algebra over a field F, linear mapping $\omega : L \wedge L \to F$ be non-degenerate. If ω satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega([x_1, \cdots, \hat{x_i}, \cdots, x_4], (-1)^{i-1}x_i) = 0, \ \forall x_i \in L, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{3.1}$$

then ω is called a symplectic structure on L, and (L, ω) is called a symplectic 3-Lie algebra.

An ideal I of a symplectic 3-Lie algebra (L, ω) is called *an lagrangian ideal* if and only if it coincides with its orthogonal with respect to the form ω.

If there exists a metric B and a symplectic structure ω on 3-Lie algebra L, respectively, then (L, B, ω) is called *a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra.*

By the above definition, if (L, ω) is a symplectic 3-Lie algebra, then the dimension of L is even.

Theorem 3.1 *Let (L, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra. Then there exists a symplectic structure on L if and only if there exists a skew-symmetric invertible derivation $D \in Der_B(L)$.*

Proof. Let (L, B, ω) be a symplectic 3-Lie algebra. Defines $D : L \to L$ by

$$B(Dx, y) = \omega(x, y), \ \forall x, y \in L. \tag{3.2}$$

Then D is invertible, and from Eq.(3.1), for $\forall x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B([Dx_1, x_2, x_3], x_4) + B([x_1, Dx_2, x_3], x_4) + B([x_1, x_2, Dx_3], x_4) - B(D[x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4)\\
&= -B([x_2, x_3, x_4], Dx_1) + B([x_1, x_3, x_4], Dx_2) - B([x_1, x_2, x_4], Dx_3) + B([x_1, x_2, x_3], Dx_4)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{4} \omega([x_1, \cdots, \hat{x_i}, \cdots, x_4], (-1)^{i-1}x_i) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, D is a skew-symmetric invertible derivation of (L, B), that is, $D \in Der_B(L)$.

Conversely, if $D \in Der_B(L)$ is invertible. Defines $\omega : L \times L \to F$ by Eq.(3.2). Then by the above discussion, ω is non-degenerate, and satisfies Eq.(3.1). The result follows. □

Remark 1 One might thus think that every symplectic 3-Lie algebra (A, ω) admitting an invertible derivation which is skew-symmetric for ω carries a metric structure; but this is not the case. Let A be a 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, the multiplication in a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ be defined by

$$[x_1, x_2, x_4] = x_3.$$

Then the non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on A given by

$$\omega(x_1, x_4) = \omega(x_2, x_3) = 1$$

provides a symplectic structure on A, and the linear endomorphism of A given by

$$D(x_1) = 2x_1, D(x_2) = -x_2, D(x_3) = -x_3, D(x_4) = -2x_4$$

is a skew-symmetric derivation of (A, ω). But for every symmetric bilinear form $B : A \times A \to F$ satisfying Eq.(2.1), B satisfies

$$B(x_3, x_3) = B(x_3, x_1) = B(x_3, x_2) = B(x_3, x_4) = 0.$$

Therefore, B is degenerated. It follows that there does not exist metric structure on the 3-Lie algebra A.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the skew-symmetric derivation $D \in Der_B(L)$ is also skew-symmetric with respect to the symplectic form ω since for all $x, y \in L$,

$$\omega(Dx, y) = B(D^2x, y) = -B(Dx, Dy) = -\omega(x, Dy).$$

Now for arbitrary 3-Lie algebra L and a positive integer $n(n > 2)$, we construct a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra. Let N be the set of all non-negative integers,

$$F[t] = \{f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i t^i \mid a_i \in F, m \in N\}$$

be the algebra of polynomials over F. We consider the tensor product of vector spaces

$$L_n = L \otimes (tF[t]/t^nF[t]), \tag{3.3}$$

where $tF[t]/t^nF[t]$ is the quotient space of $tF[t]$ module $t^nF[t]$. Then L_n is a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra in the following multiplication

$$[x \otimes t^{\bar{p}}, y \otimes t^{\bar{q}}, z \otimes t^{\bar{r}}] = [x, y, z]_L \otimes t^{\overline{p+q+r}}, x, y, z \in L; p, q, r \in N \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Defines endomorphism D of L_n by

$$D(x \otimes t^{\overline{p}}) = p(x \otimes t^{\overline{p}}),\ \forall x \in L,\ p = 1, \cdots, n-1.$$

Then D is an invertible derivation of the 3-Lie algebra L_n.

Let $\tilde{L_n} = L_n \oplus L_n^*$, where L_n^* is the dual space of L_n. Then $(\tilde{L_n}, B)$ is a metric 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication

$$[x + f, y + g, z + h] = [x, y, z]_{L_n} + ad^*(y, z)f - ad^*(x, z)g + ad^*(x, y)h, \tag{3.5}$$

for $x, y, z \in L_n, f, g, h \in L_n^*$, and the bilinear form

$$B(x+f, y+g) = f(y) + g(x). \tag{3.6}$$

Defines linear mapping $\tilde{D} : \tilde{L}_n \to \tilde{L}_n$ by

$$\tilde{D}(x+f) = Dx + D^*f, \ \forall x \in L_n, f \in L_n^* \tag{3.7},$$

where $D^*f = -fD$. Then $\tilde{D}$ is an invertible, and by the direct computation, we have $\tilde{D} \in Der_B(\tilde{L}_n)$. Hence the metric 3-Lie algebra $(\tilde{L}_n, B)$ admits a symplectic structure ω as follows

$$\omega(x+f, y+g) = B(\tilde{D}(x+f), y+g) = -f(Dy) + g(Dx). \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 2 By above discussion, from an arbitrary 3-Lie algebra, we can construct infinitely many metric sympletric 3-Lie algebras.

4. Symplectic structures of T_θ^*-extensions

In papers [12, 13], authors studied the extensions and module-extensions of 3-Lie algebras. In this section we need T_θ^*-extension of 3-Lie algebras to describe the symplectic structures.

Lemma 4.1 [12] *Let A be a 3-Lie algebra over a field F, A^* be the dual space of A, $\theta : A \wedge A \wedge A \to A^*$ be a linear mapping satisfying*

$$\theta([x,u,v],y,z) + \theta([y,u,v],z,x) + \theta(x,y,[z,u,v]) = \theta([x,y,z],u,v). \tag{4.1}$$

Then $T_\theta^* A = A \oplus A^*$ is a 3-Lie algebra in the following multiplication

$$[x+f, y+g, z+h] = [x,y,z]_A + \theta(x,y,z) + ad^*(y,z)f + ad^*(z,x)g + ad^*(x,y)h, \tag{4.2}$$

where $x, y, z \in A, f, g, h \in A^*$. The 3-Lie algebra $T_\theta^* A$ is called the T_θ^*-extension of the 3-Lie algebra A by means of θ.

If further, θ satisfies

$$\theta(x_1,x_2,x_3)(x_4) + \theta(x_1,x_2,x_4)(x_3) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in A$, then the symmetric bilinear form B on $T_\theta^* A$ given by

$$B(x+f, y+g) = f(y) + g(x), \ x, y \in A, f, g \in A^*, \tag{4.4}$$

defines a metric structure on $T_\theta^* A$.

Theorem 4.2 Let A be a 3-Lie algebra admitting an invertible derivation D, and $\theta : A \wedge A \wedge A \to A^*$ be a linear mapping satisfying Eqs.(4.1) and (4.3). If there exists a linear mapping $\Psi : A \wedge A \to F$ satisfying for $x, y, z, u \in A$,

$$\Theta(x,y,z,u) = -(\Psi(x,[y,z,u]) - \Psi(y,[x,z,u]) + \Psi(z,[x,y,u]) - \Psi(u,[x,y,z])), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\Theta(x,y,z,u)=\theta(Dx,y,z)u-\theta(Dy,z,u)x+\theta(Dz,u,x)y-\theta(Du,x,y)z, \tag{4.6}$$

then the metric 3-Lie algebra $T^*_\theta A$ admits a symplectic structure.

Proof. Let B be the metric on the 3-Lie algebra $T^*_\theta A$ defined in Eq.(4.4). By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to prove that the existence of an invertible skew-symmetric derivation of the metric 3-Lie algebra $(T^*_\theta A, B)$.

Defines a linear mappings $H: A\to A^*$ and $\bar{D}: T^*_\theta A\to T^*_\theta A$, respectively, by

$$B(Hx,y)=\Psi(x,y),\ \forall x,y\in A,$$

and

$$\bar{D}(x+f)=Dx-Hx-fD,\ \forall x\in A, f\in A^*.$$

It is straightforward to see that $\bar{D}$ is invertible, since D is so. And

$$B(\bar{D}(x+f),y+g)=B(Dx-Hx-fD,y+g)=g(Dx)-f(Dy)-F(x,y),$$

$$B(x+f,\bar{D}(y+g))=B(x+f,Dy-Hy-gD)=-g(Dx)+f(Dy)-F(y,x).$$

Therefore, $\bar{D}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to the metric B.

Further, since D is a derivation of A, for $x,y,z\in A$ and $f,g,h\in A^*$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\bar{D}(x+f),y+g,z+h]+[x+f,\bar{D}(y+g),z+h]\\
&+[x+f,y+g,\bar{D}(z+h)]-\bar{D}[x+f,y+g,z+h]\\
=&[Dx-Hx-fD,y+g,z+h]+[x+f,Dy-Hy-gD,z+h]\\
&+[x+f,y+g,Dz-Hz-hD]-\bar{D}([x,y,z]+\theta(x,y,z)\\
&+ad^*(y,z)f+ad^*(z,x)g+ad^*(x,y)h)\\
=&[Dx,y,z]+\theta(Dx,y,z)-ad^*(y,z)(Hx+fD)+ad^*(z,Dx)g\\
&+ad^*(Dx,y)h+[x,Dy,z]+\theta(x,Dy,z)+ad^*(Dy,z)f\\
&-ad^*(z,x)(Hy+gD)+ad^*(x,Dy)h+[x,y,Dz]+\theta(x,y,Dz)\\
&+ad^*(y,Dz)f+ad^*(Dz,x)g-ad^*(x,y)(Hz+hD)-D[x,y,z]\\
&+H[x,y,z]+\theta(x,y,z)D-D^*ad^*(y,z)f-D^*ad^*(z,x)g-D^*ad^*(x,y)h\\
=&\theta(Dx,y,z)+\theta(x,Dy,z)+\theta(x,y,Dz)+\theta(x,y,z)D-ad^*(y,z)Hx\\
&-ad^*(z,x)Hy-ad^*(x,y)Hz+H[x,y,z].
\end{aligned}$$

From Eqs.(4.5) and (4.6) and $\Psi(x,y)=B(Hx,y)=Hx(y)$ for all $x,y\in A$, for arbitrary $u\in A$,

$$\theta(Dx,y,z)u+\theta(x,Dy,z)u+\theta(x,y,Dz)u+\theta(x,y,z)Du$$

$$+B(Hx,[y,z,u])+B(Hy,[z,x,u])+B(Hz,[x,y,u])+B(H[x,y,z],u)$$

$$=\Theta(x,y,z,u)+\Psi(x,[y,z,u])-\Psi(y,[x,z,u])+\Psi(z,[x,y,u])-\Psi(u,[x,y,z]=0.$$

Therefore, $\bar{D}$ is an invertible derivation of $T_\theta^* A$. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 4.3 *Let A be a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra over F, I be a nonzero ideal of A. Then $I\cap Z(A)\neq 0$.*

Proof. If A is abelian, the result is evident.

If A is non-abelian, and I is a nonzero ideal of A. Then for every $x,y\in A$, the left multiplication $ad(x,y):A\to A$ is nilpotent ([14]). Therefore, the inner derivation algebra $ad(A)$ of the 3-Lie algebra A is constituted by nilpotent mappings. Since $ad(x,y)(I)\subseteq I$, for all $x,y\in A$, by Theorem 3.3 in [15], there exists non-zero element $z\in I$ such that $ad(x,y)(z)=0,\forall x,y\in L$. Therefore, $z\in I\cap Z(A)$. □

Lemma 4.4 *Let (A,B) be a non-abelian nilpotent metric 3-Lie algebra over F. Then there exists a non-zero isotropic ideal of A.*

Proof. Denotes $J=A^1\cap Z(A)$. By Lemma 4.3 J is a non-zero ideal of A. Thanks to Lemma 2.3 in paper [9], $Z(A)^\perp=A^1=[A,A,A]$. Then, $J\subseteq J^\perp$, that is, J is a non-zero isotropic ideal of A. □

Lemma 4.5[12] *Let (L,B) be a nilpotent metric 3-Lie algebra of dimension m. If J is an isotropic ideal of L, then L contains a maximally isotropic ideal I of dimension $[\frac{m}{2}]$ containing J. Moreover,*

1) If m is even, then L is isometric to some T_θ^*-extension of L/I.

2) If m is odd, then the ideal $I^\perp$ is an abelian ideal of L, and L is isometric to a non-degenerate ideal of codimension one in some T_θ^*-extension of L/I.

Theorem 4.6 *Let (L,B) be a non-abelian nilpotent metric 3-Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F which admits a skew-symmetric invertible derivation $\bar{D}$. Then there exists a 3-Lie algebra A, an invertible derivation D of A and $\theta:A\wedge A\wedge A\to A^*$ satisfying Eq.(4.1) such that $L=T_\theta^* A$. And There exists $\Psi:A\wedge A\to F$ such that $\Theta(x,y,z,u)$ defined by Eq.(4.6) satisfying Eq.(4.5).*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, $I=L^1\cap Z(L)$ is a non-zero isotropic characteristically ideal of the 3-Lie algebra L. From Theorem 3.1, there exists a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form ω on L such that the invertible derivation $\bar{D}$ satisfies

$$\omega(\bar{D}x,y)+\omega(x,\bar{D}y)=0.$$

Therefore, the dimension of the 3-Lie algebra L is even.

Since the 3-Lie algebra L is nilpotnet, the inner derivation algebra $Ad(L)$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra. Then the Lie algebra $T = Ad(L) \oplus F\bar{D}$ is solvable. By Lemma 3.2 in [16] and Lemma 4.5, there exists a maximal isotropic ideal J containing the isotropic ideal $I = L^1 \cap Z(L)$, and $\theta : (L/J) \wedge (L/J) \wedge (L/J) \to (L/J)$ satisfying Eq.(4.1) such that the metric 3-Lie algebra (L, B) is isomorphic to the T^*_θ-extension $T^*_\theta(L/J)$, and J is stable by $\bar{D}$. Let J' be a complement of J in the vector space L, that is, $L = J' \oplus J$. Then for every $x \in J,\ y \in J'$, we have $\bar{D}(x) \in J$ and $\bar{D}(y) = y_1 + y_2$, where $y_1 \in J'$ and $y_2 \in J$. Denotes the 3-Lie algebra L/J by A. Then A^* is isomorphic to J as subspaces and it is stable by $\bar{D}$.

Therefore, we can define linear mappings $D_{11} : A \to A$, $D_{21} : A \to A^*$, and $D_{22} : A^* \to A^*$ by

$$\bar{D}(x + f) = D_{11}x + D_{21}x + D_{22}f,\ \forall x \in A, f \in A^*. \tag{4.7}$$

Clearly, D_{11} and D_{22} must be invertible since $\bar{D}$ is so. And for every $x, y \in A, f, g \in A^*$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B(\bar{D}(x+f), y+g) + B(x+f, \bar{D}(y+g)) \\ &= B(D_{11}x + D_{21}x + D_{22}f, y+g) + B(x+f, D_{11}y + D_{21}y + D_{22}g) \\ &= g(D_{11}x) + D_{21}x(y) + D_{22}f(y) + f(D_{11}y) + D_{21}y(x) + D_{22}g(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

From the above equation, we obtain that in the case $x = 0$, $g = 0$,

$$D_{22}f(y) = -fD_{11}(y),\ \forall y \in A,\ f \in a^*,$$

and in the case $f = g = 0$,

$$B(D_{21}x, y) + B(D_{21}y, x) = 0, \forall x, y \in A.$$

Let $H = -D_{21} : A \to A^*$ and $D = D_{11} : A \to A$. Since $\bar{D}$ is a derivation of L, by Eq.(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &0 = [\bar{D}x, y, z] + [x, \bar{D}y, z] + [x, y, \bar{D}z] - \bar{D}[x, y, z] \\ &= [Dx - Hx, y, z] + [x, Dy - Hy, z] + [x, y, Dz - Hz] - \bar{D}([x, y, z] + \theta(x, y, z)) \\ &= [Dx, y, z] + \theta(Dx, y, z) - ad^*(y, z)Hx + [x, Dy, z] + \theta(x, Dy, z) - ad^*(z, x)Hy \\ &\quad + [x, y, Dz] + \theta(x, y, Dz) - ad^*(x, y)Hz - D[x, y, z] + H[x, y, z] + \theta(x, y, z)D \\ &= [Dx, y, z] + [x, Dy, z] + [x, y, Dz] - D[x, y, z] \\ &\quad + \theta(Dx, y, z) + \theta(x, Dy, z) + \theta(x, y, Dz) + \theta(x, y, z)D \\ &\quad - ad^*(y, z)Hx - ad^*(z, x)Hy - ad^*(x, y)Hz + H[x, y, z],\ \forall x, y, z \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$[Dx, y, z] + [x, Dy, z] + [x, y, Dz] - D[x, y, z] = 0,\ \forall x, y, z \in A, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\theta(Dx, y, z) + \theta(x, Dy, z) + \theta(x, y, Dz) + \theta(x, y, z)D$$

$$= ad^*(y,z)Hx + ad^*(z,x)Hy + ad^*(x,y)Hz - H[x,y,z],\ \forall x,y,z \in A. \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, D is an invertible derivation of A. Denotes

$$\Theta(x,y,z,u) = \theta(Dx,y,z)u - \theta(Dy,z,u)x + \theta(Dz,u,x)y - \theta(Du,x,y)z,\ \forall x,y,z,u \in A.$$

Defines bilinear mapping $\Psi : A \times A \to F$ by

$$\Psi(x,y) = -B(Hx,y) = -Hx(y),\ \forall x,y \in A.$$

Then Ψ is skew-symmetric and satisfies $\forall x,y,z,\omega \in A$,

$$\Theta(x,y,z,\omega) + (\Psi(x,[y,z,\omega]) - \Psi(y,[x,z,\omega]) + \Psi(z,[x,y,\omega]) - \Psi(\omega,[x,y,z])) = 0.$$

The result follows. □

The following result gives a characterization of 3-Lie algebras admitting an invertible derivation. Note that the result is valid for an arbitrary base field of characteristic zero (not necessarily algebraically closed).

Theorem 4.7 *Let A be a 3-Lie algebra over a field F with a characteristic zero. Then there exists an invertible derivation D of A if and only if A is isomorphic to the quotient 3-Lie algebra L/J of a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra (L,B,ω) by a lagrangian and completely isotropic ideal J.*

Proof. If A admits an invertible derivation. From Theorem 4.2, let $\theta = 0, \Psi = 0, H = 0$ then the 3-Lie algebra $L = A \oplus A^*$ obtained by T_0^*-extension of A is a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra.

We define

$$\bar{D} : L \to L,\ \bar{D}(x+f) = Dx - fD,\ \forall x \in A, f \in A^*,$$

and

$$\omega(x+f,y+g) = B(\bar{D}(x+f),y+g) = g(Dx) - f(Dy),\ x,y \in A, f,g \in A^*.$$

Then $J = A^*$ is a lagrangian ideal of the symplectic 3-Lie algebra (L,ω), and is a completely isotropic ideal of the metric 3-Lie algebra (L,B), and A is isomorphic to the quotient 3-Lie algebra L/J.

Conversely, suppose that the 3-Lie algebra A is isomorphic to L/J, where (L,B,ω) is a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra and J is a lagrangian completely isotropic ideal of L. By Theorem 3.4 in [12], L is isometrically isomorphic to $T_\theta^*(L/J) = T_\theta^* A$ since J is completely isotropic. From Theorem 3.1, there exists a skew-symetric invertible derivation $\bar{D}$ of the metric 3-Lie algebra (L,B). From Eq.(3.2), $\bar{D}(J) = J$. Then by the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.6, the projection $\bar{D}|_A : A \to A$ provides a non-singular derivation of A. □

At last of the paper, we give the characterization of metric symplectic double extensions of 3-Lie algebras.

Lemma 4.8[13] *Let (A, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra, b be another 3-Lie algebra and $\pi = ad^* : b \times b \to End(b^*)$ be the coadjoint representation of b. Suppose that (A, ψ) is a representation of b, where $\psi : b \wedge b \to End(A)$ satisfies $\psi(b, b) \subseteq Der_B(A)$. Let $\tilde{A} = b^* \oplus A \oplus b$, and $\phi : A \otimes A \otimes b \to b^*$ defined by for any $x_1, x_2 \in A, y, z \in b$*

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, y)(z) = -\phi(x_2, x_1, y)(z) = B(\psi(y, z)x_1, x_2).$$

If ψ satisfies $\psi(b^1, b)(A) = \psi(b, b)(A^1) = 0$. Then $(\tilde{A}, T)$ is a metric 3-Lie algebra in the following multiplication, $\forall y_1, y_2, y_3 \in b$, $\forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$, $\forall f_1, f_2, f_3 \in b^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[y_1 + x_1 + f_1, y_2 + x_2 + f_2, y_3 + x_3 + f_3] \\
&= [y_1, y_2, y_3]_b + [x_1, x_2, x_3]_A + \psi(y_2, y_3)x_1 - \psi(y_1, y_3)x_2 + \psi(y_1, y_2)x_3 + \pi(y_2, y_3)f_1 \\
&\quad -\pi(y_1, y_3)f_2 + \pi(y_1, y_2)f_3 + \phi(x_1, x_2, y_3) - \phi(x_1, x_3, y_2) + \phi(x_2, x_3, y_1). \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$T(y_1 + x_1 + f_1, y_2 + x_2 + f_2) = B(x_1, x_2) + f_1(y_2) + f_2(y_1). \qquad (4.12)$$

□

In Lemma 4.8, if $b = Fe_1 + Fe_2$ is a two-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, then

$$\psi : b \wedge b \to A,\ \psi(e_1, e_2) = \delta \in Der_B(A).$$

Therefore, $\phi : A \otimes A \otimes b \to b^*$ defined by for any $x_1, x_2 \in A, e_1, e_2 \in b$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, e_1)(e_2) = -\phi(x_2, x_1, e_1)(e_2) = B(\psi(e_1, e_2)x_1, x_2) = B(\delta x_1, x_2), \qquad (4.13)$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, e_2)(e_1) = -B(\delta x_1, x_2),\ \phi(x_1, x_2, e_1)(e_1) = \phi(x_1, x_2, e_2)(e_2) = 0. \qquad (4.13')$$

Then we say that $(\tilde{A} = Fe_1 + Fe_2 \oplus A \oplus Fe_1^* + Fe_2^*,\ T)$ is the double extension of A by means of the derivation $\psi(e_1, e_2) = \delta$, and the multiplication is for $\forall x, y, x \in A$, $\alpha, \alpha^{'}$, $\beta, \beta^{'}$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{'}, \gamma_2$, $\gamma_2^{'} \in F$, $e_1^*, e_2^* \in b^*$ (where $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq 1, j \leq 2$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha^{'} e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta^{'} e_2^*, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1^{'} e_1^* + \gamma_2^{'} e_2^*] \\
&= [x, y, z] + \delta(-\beta\gamma_1 x - \alpha\gamma_2 y + \alpha\beta z) + \phi(x, y, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) - \phi(x, z, \beta e_2) + \phi(y, z, \alpha e_1), \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

and the metric is

$$T(\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha^{'} e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta^{'} e_2^*) = B(x, y) + \alpha\beta^{'} + \beta\alpha^{'}. \qquad (4.15)$$

By the above notations we have the following result.

Theorem 4.9 *Let (A, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra, D be an invertible derivation of A and $D \in Der_B(A)$, and $\delta \in Der_B(A)$ satisfy*

$$\delta D - D\delta = 2\delta. \tag{4.16}$$

Let $(\tilde{A} = b \oplus A \oplus b^*, T)$ be the double extension of A by means of the derivation δ, where $b = Fe_1 + Fe_2$ be the 2-dimensional 3-Lie algebra. Then the linear endomorphism $\tilde{D}$ of $\tilde{A}$ defined by

$$\tilde{D}|_A = D,\ \tilde{D}e_i = -e_i,\ \tilde{D}e_i^* = e_i^*,\ i = 1, 2 \tag{4.17}$$

is an invertible derivation of the 3-Lie algebra $(\tilde{A}, T)$, and $\tilde{D} \in Der_T(\tilde{A})$.

Proof Let $\psi : b \wedge b \to A,\ \psi(e_1, e_2) = \delta \in Der_B(A)$. By the above discussion, $(\tilde{A} = b \oplus A \oplus b^*, T)$ is the double extension of A by means of the derivation δ.

By Eq.(4.17), the linear mapping $\tilde{D} : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}$ is invertible. From Lemma 4.8 and Eq.(4.14), $\forall x, y, z \in A, \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma_1, \gamma_1', \gamma_2, \gamma_2' \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{D}[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&= D[x, y, z] + D\delta(-\beta\gamma_1 x - \alpha\gamma_2 y + \alpha\beta z) + \phi(x, y, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) \\
&\quad -\phi(x, z, \beta e_2) + \phi(y, z, \alpha e_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Eqs.(4.16) and (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\tilde{D}(\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*), \beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&+[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*, \tilde{D}(\beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*), \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&+[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*, \tilde{D}(\gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*)] \\
&= [-\alpha e_1 + Dx + \alpha' e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&\quad +[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*, -\beta e_2 + Dy + \beta' e_2^*, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2 + z + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&\quad +[\alpha e_1 + x + \alpha' e_1^*, \beta e_2 + y + \beta' e_2^*, -\gamma_1 e_1 - \gamma_2 e_2 + Dz + \gamma_1' e_1^* + \gamma_2' e_2^*] \\
&= [Dx, y, z] + [x, Dy, z] + [x, y, Dz] \\
&\quad +\delta D(-\beta\gamma_1 x - \alpha\gamma_2 y + \alpha\beta z) - 2\delta(-\beta\gamma_1 x - \alpha\gamma_2 y + \alpha\beta z) \\
&\quad +\phi(Dx, y, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) - \phi(Dx, z, \beta e_2) + \phi(y, z, -\alpha e_1) \\
&\quad +\phi(x, Dy, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) - \phi(x, z, -\beta e_2) + \phi(Dy, z, \alpha e_1) \\
&\quad +\phi(x, y, -\gamma_1 e_1 - \gamma_2 e_2) - \phi(x, Dz, \beta e_2) + \phi(y, Dz, \alpha e_1) \\
&= D[x, y, z] + D\delta(-\beta\gamma_1 x - \alpha\gamma_2 y + \alpha\beta z) \\
&\quad +\phi(Dx, y, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) - \phi(Dx, z, \beta e_2) - \phi(y, z, \alpha e_1) \\
&\quad +\phi(x, Dy, \gamma_1 e_1 + \gamma_2 e_2) + \phi(x, z, \beta e_2) + \phi(Dy, z, \alpha e_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)-\phi(x,Dz,\beta e_2)+\phi(y,Dz,\alpha e_1).$$

From Eqs.(4.13) and (4.16),

$$(\phi(Dx,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)+\phi(x,Dy,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)-\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2))(e_1)$$

$$=B(-\gamma_2\delta Dx,y)+B(-\gamma_2\delta x,Dy)+B(\gamma_2\delta x,y)$$

$$=B(-\gamma_2\delta Dx,y)+B(\gamma_2D\delta x,y)+B(\gamma_2\delta x,y)$$

$$=-\gamma_2B((\delta D-D\delta-2\delta)x,y)-B(\gamma_2\delta x,y)$$

$$=B(-\gamma_2\delta x,y)=\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2))(e_1),$$

$$(\phi(Dx,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)+\phi(x,Dy,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)-\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2))(e_2)$$

$$=B(\gamma_1\delta Dx,y)+B(\gamma_1\delta x,Dy)-B(\gamma_1\delta x,y)$$

$$=\gamma_1B((D\delta-D\delta-2\delta)x,y)+B(\gamma_1\delta x,y)$$

$$=B(\gamma_1\delta x,y)=\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2))(e_2).$$

Then we have

$$\phi(Dx,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)+\phi(x,Dy,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)-\phi(x,y,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2)=\phi(y,z,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2).$$

Similarly,

$$-\phi(Dx,z,\beta e_2)+\phi(x,z,\beta e_2)-\phi(x,Dz,\beta e_2)=-\phi(x,z,\beta e_2),$$

$$-\phi(y,z,\alpha e_1)+\phi(Dy,z,\alpha e_1)+\phi(y,Dz,\alpha e_1)=\phi(y,z,\alpha e_1).$$

Therefore, $\tilde{D}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{D}[\alpha e_1+x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*,\beta e_2+y+\beta^{'}e_2^*,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2+z+\gamma_1^{'}e_1^*+\gamma_2^{'}e_2^*]$$

$$=[\tilde{D}(\alpha e_1+x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*),\beta e_2+y+\beta^{'}e_2^*,\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2+z+\gamma_1^{'}e_1^*+\gamma_2^{'}e_2^*]$$

$$+[\alpha e_1+x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*,\tilde{D}(\beta e_2+y+\beta^{'}e_2^*),\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2+z+\gamma_1^{'}e_1^*+\gamma_2^{'}e_2^*]$$

$$+[\alpha e_1+x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*,\beta e_2+y+\beta^{'}e_2^*,\tilde{D}(\gamma_1e_1+\gamma_2e_2+z+\gamma_1^{'}e_1^*+\gamma_2^{'}e_2^*)].$$

Again by Eqs.(4.15) and (4.17),

$$T(\tilde{D}(\alpha e_1+\beta e_2+\epsilon x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*+\beta' e_2^*),\lambda e_1+\mu e_2+\nu y+\lambda^{'}e_1^*+\nu^{'}e_2^*)$$

$$+T(\alpha e_1+\beta e_2+\epsilon x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*+\beta' e_2^*,\tilde{D}(\lambda e_1+\mu e_2+\nu y+\lambda^{'}e_1^*+\nu^{'}e_2^*))$$

$$=T(-\alpha e_1-\beta e_2+\epsilon Dx+\alpha^{'}e_1^*+\beta' e_2^*,\lambda e_1+\mu e_2+\nu y+\lambda^{'}e_1^*+\nu^{'}e_2^*)$$

$$+T(\alpha e_1+\beta e_2+\epsilon x+\alpha^{'}e_1^*+\beta' e_2^*,-\lambda e_1-\mu e_2+\nu Dy+\lambda^{'}e_1^*+\nu^{'}e_2^*)$$

$$=B(\epsilon Dx,\nu y)+B(\epsilon x,\nu Dy)-\alpha\lambda'-\beta\mu'+\alpha'\lambda+\beta'\mu+\alpha\lambda'+\beta\mu'-\alpha'\lambda-\beta'\mu=0.$$

Summarizing above discussion, we obtain that $\tilde{D}$ is an invertible derivation of the metric 3-Lie algebra $(\tilde{A},T)$ and $\tilde{D}\in Der_T(\tilde{A})$. $\square$

If (A, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra and $D \in Der_B(A)$ is invertible. From Eq.(3.2), (A, B, ω) is a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra, where $\omega(x, y) = B(Dx, y), \forall x, y \in A$. Then we obtain the following result.

Corollary *Let (A, B) be a metric 3-Lie algebra, D be an invertible derivation of A, $D \in Der_B(A)$ and $\delta \in Der_B(A)$ satisfy Eq.(4.16). Then the 3-Lie algebra $(\tilde{A}, T, \tilde{\omega})$ is a metric symplectic 3-Lie algebra, which is called the metric symplectic double extension of (A, B, ω), where $(\tilde{A}, T)$ is the double extension of (A, B) by means of δ, and $\tilde{\omega}$ is defined by*

$$\tilde{\omega}(x, y) = \omega(x, y),\ \tilde{\omega}(e_1, e_2^*) = \tilde{\omega}(e_2, e_1^*) = -1,\ \forall x, y \in A. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof The result follows from Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 3.1, directly. □

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to thank supports from National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371245) and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014201006), China.

References

[1] V.T. Filippov, n-Lie algebras, *Siberian Math. J.,* 26(6) (1985) 879-891 (Translation of *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.,* 26 (1985) 126-140).
[2] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 7 (1973) 2405-2412.
[3] L. Takhtajan, On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 160 (1994) 295-315.
[4] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple $M2$-branes, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008) 065008.
[5] P. Ho, R. Hou and Y. Matsuo, Lie 3-algebra and multiple M_2-branes, *JHEP* 0806 (2008) 020.
[6] P. Ho, M. Chebotar and W. Ke, On skew-symmetric maps on Lie algebras, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A* 113 (2003) 1273-1281.
[7] A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, *Nucl.Phys.* B811 (2009) 66-76.
[8] G. Papadopoulos, M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations, *JHEP* 0805 (2008) 054.
[9] R. Bai W.Wu, Z. Li, Some Results on metric n-Lie algebras, *Acta Mathematics Sinica, English Series*, 2012, 28(6):1209-1220.
[10] Y. Jin, W. Liu, Z. Zhang, Real simple n-Lie algebras admitting metric structures. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.,* 42, 485206 (2009)
[11] R. Bai, D. Meng, The strong semi-simple n-Lie algebras, *Commun. Algebra*, 2003, 31(11): 5331-5441
[12] R. Bai, W. Wu, Y. Li and Z. Li, Module extension of 3-Lie algebras, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2012, 60(4): 433-447
[13] R Bai, Y Li, W. Wu, Extensions of n-lie algebras (in Chinese), *Sci Sin Math,* 2012, 42(7): 689-698
[14] S. Kasymov, On a theory of n-Lie algebras, Algebra i Logika, 1987, 26(3), 277-297.
[15] J. Humohreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springerverlag New York-Inc(1972).
[16] M. Bordemann, Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, 1997, V. LXVI(2): 151-201.

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P.R. China
E-mail address: bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P.R. China
E-mail address: chenss0416@13.com

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P.R. China
E-mail address: chengrongbaoding@126.com